

November 16, 2020

## Questions and Answers related to the *Request For Proposal: Use of the Stewart Log Dump and Associated Water Lots*

---

**Question:** Is the District of Stewart wanting a base rent as stated and payment of other fees as stated. Will these fees be deducted from \$1.00 per m3 through-put collected?

**Answer:** *As stated in the RFP, the Basic Rent and the Additional Rent are separate from the Through-put Fee. Proponents are encouraged to consider each independently in their Proposal.*

**Question:** The district of Stewart at a regular council meeting on Oct 19, 2020 passed a motion to issue this RFP. “The intention is not to increase the cost to the end user or revenue paid to the District but to formalize the process”. I am not clear on what the real intentions are here.

**Answer:** *Proponents are encouraged to review and respond to the RFP on its own merit. The only documents that are required to be considered in addition to the RFP document are those attached to the RFP on BCbid, or those directly referred to in the RFP document itself. (Note: For clarity, the quote is accurate, but is part of a staff report to Council.)*

**Question:** Is the District of Stewart firm on requiring the commitments identified in 2.c.i and 2.c.ii on page 5 of the RFP document?

**Answer:** *As this is a Request for **Proposal**, proponents may propose alternatives to the commitments as described under “Required Content” in the RFP document. Specific to items 2.c.i and 2.c.ii, page 5 of the RFP has been amended to make this clearer.*